arXiv:cond-mat/0506043v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 2 Jun 2005

Exact renormalization group approach to a nonlinear diffusion equation

Seiichi Yoshida and Takahiro Fukui
Department of Physics, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan
(Dated: February 2, 2008)

The exact renormalization group is applied to a nonlinear diffusion equation with a discontinuous diffusion coefficient. The generating functional of the solution for the initial-value problem of nonlinear diffusion equations is first introduced, and next a new regularization scheme is presented. It is shown that the renormalization of an action functional in the generating functional leads to an anomalous diffusion exponent in full order of the perturbation series with respect to a nonlinearity.

PACS numbers: 47.55.Mh, 64.60.Ak, 64.60.Ht, 47.20.Ky

The renormalization group (RG) is a powerful tool to reveal universal behavior of various systems, including quantum field theories and statistical mechanics [1]. Its basic idea lies in the coarse-graining of short-distance degrees of freedom, which causes redefinition of parameters governing the long-distance physics of the systems under investigation. In spite of its conceptual simplicity, there exist in the RG methods many techniques to solve with, and numerous applications have been made to equilibrium or near-equilibrium systems [2, 3]. In particular, exact RG (ERG) (also called nonperturbative RG or functional RG) techniques and some approximations based on them [1, 4, 5, 6, 7] have been attracting much renewed interest to reveal nonperturbative phenomena in field theories [8], statistical mechanics [9], and condensed matter physics [10, 11, 12].

On the other hand, Goldenfeld *et al.* [13, 14] have extended the RG methods to systems far from equilibrium. They have demonstrated that there exists a deep relationship between the RG and the intermediate asymptotics method [15] in the study of the nonlinear partial-differential equations for nonequilibrium systems. Their idea has attracted much interest, and the RG approach to nonlinear differential equations has been developed [16].

In this paper, we apply the ERG method to a nonlinear diffusion equation called Barenblatt equation [15]. This equation has a discontinuous diffusion coefficient; this discontinuity makes perturbative expansion more complicated if one proceeds to higher order computations. We show in this paper that Polchinski equation, a version of the ERG equation, is very efficient even for such a nonlinear diffusion equation. It turns out that we can indeed solve the equation *for all order* in the perturbation series. The solution leads us to the full anomalous diffusion exponent.

Let us start with the following nonlinear diffusion equation called Barenblatt equation;

$$\partial_t u(x,t) - D(u)\partial_x^2 u(x,t) = 0 \tag{1}$$

with an initial condition $u(x,t=0) = q\delta(x)$, where $D(u) \equiv \kappa[1 + g\theta(-\alpha\partial_t u)]$ denotes a nonlinear diffusion coefficient with α being a positive constant which makes $\alpha\partial_t u$ dimensionless (below, we set $\alpha = 1$, for simplicity). Here, $\theta(x) = 0$ (1) for $x < 0$ ($x > 0$) stands for the step function. The dimensionless constant g controls the nonlinearity of the diffusion coefficient. The Barenblatt equation describes the filtration of a compressible fluid through a compressible porous medium which can be irreversibly deformed. Goldenfeld *et al.* [13] have obtained asymptotic behavior of the solution by solving this equation via an iteration scheme corresponding to the perturbative expansion with respect to g. This perturbation gives rise to divergences: Their basic idea is introducing a renormalization scheme which render the solution finite and deriving an anomalous diffusion exponent as an anomalous dimension in the RG language. Though they have successfully obtained the leading correction of the diffusion exponent, their method seems difficult to extend to higher order due to the discontinuous step-function nonlinearity. We will present a new renormalization scheme for the initial-value problems of nonlinear diffusion equations.

To be specific, we introduce a *generating functional* of the solution for the Barenblatt equation and a *modified propagator* with a short-time cutoff to render the solution finite. To this end, notice [3, 17] that the solution of Eq. (1) can be written as $u(x,t) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi\phi(x,t)\delta(\partial_t\phi - D(\phi)\partial_x^2\phi)\delta(\phi(x,0) - u(x,0))$. This expression can be rewritten by a functional integral if the derivative with respect to t is interpreted as a forward difference operator. Namely, using the Fourier transformation for the delta function, we reach $u(x,t) = \langle\phi(x,t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\int \mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\phi}\ \phi(x,t)e^{iS}$ with S being an action functional

$$S = \int_0^\infty dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \left[\widetilde{\phi}\Delta^{-1}\phi - g\widetilde{\phi}\theta(-\partial_t\phi)\kappa\partial_x^2\phi - \widetilde{\phi}J\right], \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta(x,t) \equiv e^{-x^2/(4\kappa t)}/\sqrt{4\pi\kappa t}$ denotes the diffusion propagator and the generating functional $\mathcal{Z}$ is defined by $\mathcal{Z} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\phi}\ e^{iS}$, as usual. The field J in the last term is defined by $J(x,t) \equiv u(x,0)\delta(t)$ which controls the initial value of u. In what follows, we examine the case $u(x,0) = q\delta(x)$, as mentioned below Eq. (1), but it should be stressed that the generic initial-value problem can be treated similarly.

As discussed by Goldenfeld *et al.* [13], perturbative calculation diverges with the initial condition specified

above. To regularize the solution, they have introduced an initial distribution with a finite width such as $u(x,t=0)=e^{-x^2/(2l^2)}/\sqrt{2\pi l^2}$. We instead introduce the short-time cutoff ε for the propagator to formulate the ERG for the present nonlinear diffusion equation. To be specific, we define a modified propagator as

$$\Delta_\varepsilon(x,t)=\theta(t-\varepsilon)\Delta(x,t). \tag{3}$$

One can easily check that this propagator indeed gives a finite solution in the perturbation theory, applying it to the calculations by Goldenfeld *et al.* [13]. This regularization scheme can be used not only in the Barenblatt equation but also in generic diffusion problems.

Having defined the generating functional and the modified propagator, we next derive an ERG equation for the action functional Eq. (2). Using the propagator (3) with a cutoff ε_0 and introducing a source term, we start with the generating functional,

$$\mathcal{Z}[\widetilde{J},J]=\int\mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\phi}e^{i\widetilde{\phi}\cdot\Delta_{\varepsilon_0}^{-1}\cdot\phi-iS_{\varepsilon_0}[\widetilde{\phi},\phi]-i\widetilde{J}\cdot\phi-i\widetilde{\phi}\cdot J}, \tag{4}$$

where the bare action of the nonlinear term is $S_{\varepsilon_0}[\widetilde{\phi},\phi]=g\widetilde{\phi}\cdot\theta(-\partial_t\phi)\kappa\partial_x^2\phi$. At the end of the calculations, we must set $J(x,t)=q\delta(x)\delta(t)$ to obtain the solution for the initial-value problem of the present equation. Here, the symbol $a\cdot b$ implies $a\cdot b=\int_0^\infty dt\int_{-\infty}^\infty dx a(x,t)b(x,t)$. Next, we introduce a new cutoff ε ($>\varepsilon_0$) and divide the propagator into two parts $\Delta_{\varepsilon_0}=\Delta_>+\Delta_<$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_>&=\left[\theta(t-\varepsilon_0)-\theta(t-\varepsilon)\right]\Delta,\\ \Delta_<&=\theta(t-\varepsilon)\Delta.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here, $>$ and $<$ imply the short-time and long-time modes, respectively. Separating also ϕ and $\widetilde{\phi}$ into two fields $\phi=\phi_>+\phi_<$ and $\widetilde{\phi}=\widetilde{\phi}_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<$ enables us to rewrite the generating functional as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}[\widetilde{J},J]\propto\int\mathcal{D}\phi_<\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\phi}_<e^{i\widetilde{\phi}_<\cdot\Delta_<^{-1}\cdot\phi_<}\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon[\widetilde{J},J;\widetilde{\phi}_<,\phi_<],\\ &\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon[\widetilde{J},J;\widetilde{\phi}_<,\phi_<]=\int\mathcal{D}\phi_>\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\phi}_>e^{i\widetilde{\phi}_>\cdot\Delta_>^{-1}\cdot\phi_>}\\ &\times e^{-iS_{\varepsilon_0}[\widetilde{\phi}_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<,\phi_>+\phi_<]-i\widetilde{J}\cdot(\phi_>+\phi_<)-i(\widetilde{\phi}_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<)\cdot J},\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

up to a proportionality constant. The field $\phi_>$, $\widetilde{\phi}_>$ and $\phi_<$, $\widetilde{\phi}_<$ can be identified as fields describing short-time and long-time modes, respectively. Integrating out the short-time fields, we will next derive an effective action describing long-time modes.

Changing the integration variables $\widetilde{\phi}_>$ and $\phi_>$ into $\widetilde{\phi}_>=\widetilde{\phi}-\widetilde{\phi}_<$ and $\phi_>=\phi-\phi_<$, and integrating over the fields $\widetilde{\phi}$ and ϕ in Eq. (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon[\widetilde{J},J;\widetilde{\phi}_<,\phi_<]\\ &=\int\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\phi}\mathcal{D}\phi e^{i(\widetilde{\phi}-\widetilde{\phi}_<)\cdot\Delta_>^{-1}\cdot(\phi-\phi_<)-iS_{\varepsilon_0}[\widetilde{\phi},\phi]-i\widetilde{J}\cdot\phi-i\widetilde{\phi}\cdot J}\\ &=e^{-i\widetilde{J}\cdot\Delta_>\cdot J-i\widetilde{\phi}_<\cdot J-i\widetilde{J}\cdot\phi_<-iS_\varepsilon[\widetilde{J}\cdot\Delta_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<,\Delta_>\cdot J+\phi_<]},\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where S_ε is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-iS_\varepsilon[\widetilde{J}\cdot\Delta_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<,\Delta_>\cdot J+\phi_<]}\\ &\quad\equiv e^{-iS_{\varepsilon_0}[i\delta/\delta J+\widetilde{J}\cdot\Delta_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<,i\delta/\delta\widetilde{J}+\Delta_>\cdot J+\phi_<]}.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

This equation implies that if we expand the exponential in r.h.s and make all the derivatives $\delta/\delta J$ and $\delta/\delta\widetilde{J}$ in S_{ε_0} act on J and $\widetilde{J}$ in the right S_{ε_0}, we reach some S_ε as a functional of $\widetilde{\Phi}=\widetilde{J}\cdot\Delta_>+\widetilde{\phi}_<$ and $\Phi=\Delta_>\cdot J+\phi_<$. Instead of carrying out such calculations, however, we can alternatively determine the functional S_ε by noting that $\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon$ obeys

$$\frac{d\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon}{d\varepsilon}=i\left(i\frac{\delta}{\delta J}-\widetilde{\phi}_<\right)\cdot\frac{d\Delta_>^{-1}}{d\varepsilon}\cdot\left(i\frac{\delta}{\delta\widetilde{J}}-\phi_<\right)\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon, \tag{9}$$

which follows from Eq. (6). Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (9), we obtain the following Polchinski RG equation,

$$\frac{\partial S_\varepsilon}{\partial\varepsilon}=\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta\Phi}\cdot\frac{d\Delta_>}{d\varepsilon}\cdot\frac{\delta S_\varepsilon}{\delta\widetilde{\Phi}}+i\mathrm{tr}\frac{d\Delta_>}{d\varepsilon}\cdot\frac{\delta^2S_\varepsilon}{\delta\widetilde{\Phi}\delta\Phi}. \tag{10}$$

Next task is to determine the functional S_ε by solving this equation. To this end, let us first consider the $\widetilde{\Phi}$ dependence of the functional S_ε. The bare S_{ε_0} contains only the first order term in $\widetilde{\Phi}$, but in the process of the renormalization, Eq. (10) yields the zeroth order term in S_ε. To be concrete, let us denote $S_\varepsilon[\widetilde{\Phi},\Phi]=\widetilde{\Phi}\cdot H_\varepsilon[\Phi]+F[\Phi]$. Substituting this into Eq.(10), we find

$$\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon}{\partial\varepsilon}=\frac{\delta H_\varepsilon}{\delta\Phi}\cdot\frac{d\Delta_>}{d\varepsilon}\cdot H_\varepsilon, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon}{\partial\varepsilon}=\frac{\delta F_\varepsilon}{\delta\Phi}\cdot\frac{d\Delta_>}{d\varepsilon}\cdot H_\varepsilon+i\mathrm{tr}\frac{d\Delta_>}{d\varepsilon}\cdot\frac{\delta H_\varepsilon}{\delta\Phi} \tag{12}$$

with the bare functions $H_{\varepsilon_0}[\Phi]=g\theta(-\partial_t\Phi)\kappa\partial_x^2\Phi$ and $F_{\varepsilon_0}[\Phi]=0$. The RG equation for the H_ε-term, which determines the solution of the Barenblatt equation, is closed. Furthermore, it has no loop corrections. Nevertheless, the initial-value problems are still nontrivial, since after obtaining H_ε, we must set $J=q\delta(x)\delta(t)$ and determine the ε-dependence.

To solve the functional equation (11), we assume the form of H_ε as

$$\begin{aligned}H_\varepsilon[\Phi](x,t)&=\int_0^\infty ds\int_{-\infty}^\infty dyV_\varepsilon[\partial_t\Phi(x-y,t-s)]\kappa\partial_y^2\Phi(y,s)\\ &\equiv V_\varepsilon[\dot{\Phi}]\cdot\kappa\Phi''(x,t)\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with a certain unknown function V_ε, where we have denoted $\partial_t\Phi=\dot{\Phi}$ and $\partial_x^2\Phi=\Phi''$ for simplicity. Substituting this into Eq. (11), we have

$$\partial_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon[\dot{\Phi}]=V_\varepsilon[\dot{\Phi}]\cdot\partial_\varepsilon\kappa\Delta_>''\cdot V_\varepsilon[\dot{\Phi}]. \tag{14}$$

The bare function is given by $V_{\varepsilon_0}[\dot{\Phi}](x-y,t-s)=-g\theta(-\dot{\Phi}(x,t))\delta(x-y)\delta(t-s)$. This equation can be

solved if V_ε is expanded in power series of g such that $V_\varepsilon = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^n V_\varepsilon^{(n)}$. Actually, we find that each term obeys

$$\partial_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^{(n)}[\dot\Phi] = \sum_{n_1+n_2=n} V_\varepsilon^{(n_1)}[\dot\Phi]\cdot\partial_\varepsilon\kappa\Delta'_>\cdot V_\varepsilon^{(n_2)}[\dot\Phi]. \quad (15)$$

From this equation, it follows that $\partial_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^{(1)} = 0$ and hence, it turns out that $V_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ is not renormalized; that is, $V_\varepsilon^{(1)}[\dot\Phi] \equiv V[\dot\Phi]$, where

$$V[\dot\Phi](x-y,t-s) = \theta(-\dot\Phi(x,t))\delta(x-y)\delta(t-s). \quad (16)$$

This enables us to calculate the higher order solutions by substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (15) and by solving it successively order by order:

$$V_\varepsilon^{(n)} = V\left(\cdot\kappa\Delta'_>\cdot V\right)^{n-1}. \quad (17)$$

Thus, we have determined the functional $H_\varepsilon[\Phi]$ as infinite power series with respect to g. In passing, we briefly discuss the solution for F_ε. Expanding similarly the RG equation (12) in power series of g, we find that the solution of Eq. (12) is $F_\varepsilon = 0$ because of the fact that the bare $F_{\varepsilon_0} = 0$ as well as that the second term in the r.h.s of Eq. (12) is zero due to the trace with respect to the time variable. Therefore, we end up with the renormalized action functional,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varepsilon &= \widetilde\Phi\cdot\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^n V[\dot\Phi]\left(\cdot\kappa\Delta'_>\cdot V[\dot\Phi]\right)^{n-1}\cdot\kappa\Phi'' \\ &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^n S_\varepsilon^{(n)} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with V defined by Eq. (16). Thus, we have determined the renormalized action in full order in g. This shows the efficiency of the present approach.

To obtain the asymptotic solution of the Barenblatt equation, we must set $J(x,t) = q\delta(x)\delta(t)$ to specify the initial condition and calculate dominant parts with respect to $\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0$ in the action obtained so far. The action decomposed into some sectors by the substitution of $\widetilde\Phi = \widetilde J\cdot\Delta_> + \widetilde\phi_<$ and $\Phi = \Delta_>\cdot J + \phi_<$. Then, we notice that among them dominant contributions are from the $\widetilde\Phi(x,t) = \widetilde\phi_<(x,t)$ and $\Phi(x,t) = q\Delta(x,t)$ sectors in the asymptotic region $\varepsilon \ll t$.

Let us start with the first order which Goldenfeld *et al.* have calculated within the perturbation in the iteration scheme. From Eq. (18), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varepsilon^{(1)} &= q\int_0^\infty dt\int_{-\infty}^\infty dx\widetilde\phi_<(x,t)\theta(-q\dot\Delta_>(x,t))\kappa\Delta''_>(x,t) \\ &= -q\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}}\ln\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right]\widetilde\phi_<(0,0) + qS_{\rm reg} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the regular part of $S^{(1)}$ is defined as

$$S_{\rm reg}^{(1)} = \int_{\varepsilon_0}^{\varepsilon}\frac{dt}{t}\int_{-1}^{1} d\omega\ \delta\widetilde\phi_<(\sqrt{2\kappa t}\omega,t)\ f(\omega) \quad (20)$$

with $\delta\widetilde\phi(\sqrt{2\kappa t}\omega,t) \equiv \widetilde\phi_<(\sqrt{2\kappa t}\omega,t) - \widetilde\phi_<(0,0)$ and $f(\omega) \equiv \frac{\omega^2-1}{2}\frac{e^{-\omega^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$. Here, the regular term in Eq. (19) is not involved with the renormalization of the action since we can safely set $\varepsilon_0 \to 0$, while the first term is relevant to the renormalization of q, the height of the initial distribution. Thus it turns out that at this order q is indeed renormalized, whereas others, especially g, is not renormalized. This feature actually holds even in the next order, as will be checked below. This implies that the present system is always at a fixed point because g is not renormalized, and hence, the anomalous dimension which is in general a scheme-dependent quantity is a physical observable in the present case. Considering these, we introduce the renormalization only to q and define the renormalized q as $q_R = qZ$. Expanding the renormalization constant Z as $Z = 1 + \sum_{n=1} g^n Z^{(n)}$ with $Z^{(n)} = -\gamma^{(n)}\ln(\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0)$, it turns out that the first order of Z reads

$$\gamma^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}}, \quad (21)$$

from Eq. (19). This indeed reproduces the result of Goldenfeld *et al.* [13].

The renormalization of q introduced above is indeed enough to render the solution of the Barenblatt equation finite also in higher order. To verify this, let us next calculate the second order renormalized action. Due to similar arguments to the first order, the action (18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varepsilon^{(2)} &= q\int_{2\varepsilon_0}^{\varepsilon_0+\varepsilon} dt\int_{-\sqrt{2\kappa t}}^{\sqrt{2\kappa t}} dx\int_{\varepsilon_0}^{t-\varepsilon_0} dt_1\int_{-\sqrt{2\kappa t_1}}^{\sqrt{2\kappa t_1}} dx_1 \\ &\quad\times\widetilde\phi_<(x,t)\kappa\Delta''(x-x_1,t-t_1)\kappa\Delta''(x_1,t_1) \\ &\quad+\text{reg.}\ , \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where reg. stands for regular parts of the renormalized action. Similar but a bit lengthy calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varepsilon^{(2)} &= q\frac{Z^{(1)2}}{2}\widetilde\phi(0,0) + qZ^{(1)}S_{\rm reg}^{(1)} + qZ^{(2)}\widetilde\phi(0,0) \\ &\quad+\text{reg.} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $Z^{(2)} = -\gamma^{(2)}\ln(\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(2)} &= -\int_0^1\frac{d\tau_1}{\tau_1}\int_{-1}^1 d\omega_1 f(\omega_1) \\ &\quad\times\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}} - \frac{1-\sqrt{\tau_1}\omega_1}{1-\tau_1}\frac{e^{-(1-\sqrt{\tau_1}\omega_1)^2/[2(1-\tau_1)]}}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\tau_1)}}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

This result indicates that the second order action correctly includes the contributions from the first order renormalized action. Namely, the renormalized action with the source term $q\widetilde\phi(0,0)$ satisfies $gS_\varepsilon^{(1)} + g^2S_\varepsilon^{(2)} + q\widetilde\phi(0,0) = e^Z qS_{\rm reg} + e^Z q\widetilde\phi(0,0)$ up to the second order of g. Therefore, we expect in general that $q_R = q\,(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)^\gamma$ where

$$\gamma = \sum_{n=1} g^n\gamma^{(n)}. \quad (25)$$

The constant γ thus obtained indeed gives the anomalous dimension of the solution for the present diffusion equation.

To see this, notice that since $\widetilde{J}$ is not renormalized, we have $u(x,t;q,\varepsilon_0) = u(x,t;q_R,\varepsilon)$, which tells that the solution is independent of ε. Hence, the renormalized solution should satisfy the following RG equation;

$$\left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon} - \gamma q_R\frac{\partial}{\partial q_R}\right)u(x,t;q_R,\varepsilon) = 0, \tag{26}$$

where γ is defined by Eq. (25), or alternatively by $\gamma = -\partial\ln q_R/\partial\ln\varepsilon$. On the other hand, the dimensional analysis requires $u(x,t;q,\varepsilon_0) = q/\sqrt{\kappa t}\Phi\left(x/\sqrt{\kappa t},\varepsilon_0/t\right)$. Therefore, combining these observations, we can assume $u(x,t;q_R,\varepsilon) = q_R/\sqrt{\kappa t}\Phi\left(x/\sqrt{\kappa t},\varepsilon/t\right)$. Substituting this into Eq. (26), it turns out that the relation $\Phi\left(x/\sqrt{\kappa t},\varepsilon/t\right) = (\varepsilon/t)^\gamma\,\tilde{\Phi}\left(x/\sqrt{\kappa t}\right)$ holds. Hence, the asymptotic behavior of the solution for the Barenblatt equation is indeed given by $u \sim 1/t^{1/2+\gamma}$.

So far we have derived the anomalous diffusion exponent up to second order with respect to g. The exponent of first order is the same as that obtained by Goldenfeld *et al.*, whereas the exponent of second order (24) is estimated as $\gamma^{(2)} \sim -0.063546$ by numerical integration. Though this value is different from the result in Ref. [13], it coincides with that obtained later by Cole and Wagner given by a different integral formula derived via different method [18]. Since the anomalous diffusion exponent should be scheme-independent in the present case, we believe that our result as well as Cole and Wagner's is correct.

The efficiency of our method lies in the fact that one can compute the higher order exponent in a similar way above. To present the exponent of nth order, we define a function,

$$g(\omega_1,\omega_2,\tau_2) = \frac{e^{-(\omega_1-\sqrt{\tau_2}\omega_2)^2/[2(1-\tau_2)]}}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\tau_2)}} - \frac{e^{-\omega_2^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \tag{27}$$

Then, Eq. (18) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(n)} &= -\int_0^1\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{d\tau_j}{\tau_j}\int_{-1}^1\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}d\omega_j f(\omega_{n-1}) \\ &\times\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}} - \frac{1-\sqrt{\tau_1}\omega_1}{1-\tau_1}\frac{e^{-(1-\sqrt{\tau_1}\omega_1)^2/[2(1-\tau_1)]}}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\tau_1)}}\right] \\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^{n-2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2g(\omega_j,\omega_{j+1},\tau_{j+1})}{d\omega_j^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We expect that this anomalous exponent is *exact*, since it has been derived from one formula (18), among which the first and second order coincides with those calculated by different methods. For references, we numerically estimate the exponent of third order, $\gamma^{(3)} = -0.00314$. The exponent obtained so far seems a good convergent series.

In summary, we have applied the ERG techniques to the initial-value problem of the Barenblatt equation, one of typical nonlinear diffusion equations. We have derived the anomalous diffusion exponent in full order with respect to the parameter controlling the nonlinearity. This implies that the ERG approach is efficient to systems far from equilibrium described by nonlinear partial-differential equations as well as to field theories and statistical mechanics.

The present approach would be useful to other types of initial-value problem for nonlinear diffusion equations. In particular, application to the critical dynamic of nonlinear traveling wave is of great interest. One of well known examples is the KPP equation [19] which shows an interesting universal behavior in the selection of the front velocity. This problem has been addressed by Paquette *et al.* [20], but more detailed analysis is needed if one wants to understand, e.g., the universal logarithmic corrections to the velocity in the pulled-front, from the RG point of view. Application of the ERG to such problems would be quite interesting.

One of the author (TF) would like to thank T. Ohta and T. Kunihiro for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from JSPS.

[1] K. G. Wilson and J. B. Kogut, Phys. Rep. **12**, 7 (1974).
[2] D. J. Amit, *Field Theory, the Renormalization Group, and Critical Phenomena* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984); J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002).
[3] J. Cardy, *Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
[4] F. Wegner and A. Houghton, Phys. Rev. **A8**, 401 (1973).
[5] J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. **B231**, 269 (1984).
[6] C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. **B301**, 90 (1993).
[7] T. R. Morris, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A9**, 2411 (1994).
[8] For reviews, see, e.g., T. R. Morris, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **131**, 395 (1998); K.-I. Aoki, *ibid.* 129.
[9] G. v. Gersdorff and C. Wetterich, hep-th/0008114.
[10] D. Zanchi and H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. **B54**, 9509 (1996); *ibid.* **B61**, 13609 (2000).
[11] C. J. Halboth and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5162 (2000).
[12] C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, N. Furukawa, and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. **B63**, 035109 (2001): C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, Phys. Rev. **B64**, 184516 (2001); Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 187004 (2001).
[13] N. Goldenfeld, O. Martin, Y. Oono, and F. Liu: Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1361 (1990).
[14] L.-Y. Chen, N. Goldenfeld, and Y. Oono, Phys. Rev. **A44**, 6544 (1991); Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1311 (1994); Phys. Rev. **E54**, 376 (1996).
[15] G. I. Barenblatt, *Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
[16] J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen, Comm. Math. Phys. **150**, 193 (1992): T. Kunihiro, Prog. Theor. Phys. **94**, 503

(1995), *ibid.* **97**, 179 (1997); Y. Hatta and T. Kunihiro, Ann. Phys. **298**, 24 (2002):

[17] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia, and H. A. Rose, Phys. Rev. **A8**, 423 (1973).

[18] J. D. Cole and B. A. Wagner, Euro. J. Appl. Math. **7**, 151 (1996).

[19] A. Kolmogorov, I. Pitrovsky, and N. Piscounov, Moscow Univ. Bull. Math. **1**, 1 (1937): M. Bramson, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 285 (1983): For a review, see, e.g., U. Ebert and W. v. Saarloos, Physica **D 146**, 1 (2000).

[20] G. C. Paquette, L-Y. Chen, N. Goldenfeld, and Y. Oono, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 76 (1994).